

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 SAN JO 02709 272330Z

64

ACTION ARA-20

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-15

SEC-03 JUSE-00 RSR-01 /093 W

----- 077182

P 272255Z JUL 73

FM AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4674

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SAN JOSE 2709

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PGOV, PFOR, CS

SUBJECT: LA NACION ANALYZES VESCO DECISION

BEGIN SUMMARY: IN A FULL-PAGE EDITORIAL JULY 27, SAN JOSE'S LEADING NEWSPAPER LA NACION ANALYZED THE REASONS THAT THE US EMBASSY'S LEGAL CASE AGAINST ROBERT VESCO FAILED IN COSTA RICA. THE EDITORIALIST BELIEVES THE CASE WAS LOST BECAUSE THE EMBASSY PRESENTED A TECHNICALLY WEAK LEGAL CASE, BUT THE COURT'S DECISION SHOULD NOT BE MISTAKEN AS A CLEAN BILL OF HEALTH FOR VESCO. END SUMMARY.

1. THE EDITORIAL BEGINS NOTING THAT FOREIGN OBSERVERS MAY WRONGLY BELIEVE THAT THE COSTA RICAN COURTS HAD BEEN INFLUENCED BY POLITICS SYMPATHETIC TO VESCO. THE REASON FOR THE COURT'S DECISION, THE EDITORIAL CONTINUES, WAS THAT THE EMBASSY'S WEAK CASE WAS DUE TO "LACK OF NATIONAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE" THAT SHOULD HAVE CONSIDERED ALL THE PRECEDENTS AND ASPECTS OF THE CASE. THE EMBASSY, THE EDITORIAL POINTS OUT, "SIMPLY PETITIONED INVOCATION OF LEE TREATY" WITHOUT EVEN STUDYING THE PROBLEM TO DETERMINE HOW THE CASE MEASURED WITHIN COSTA RICAN JURISPRUDENCE. EDITORIAL ADDS THAT IN LOCAL LEGAL CIRCLES, IT IS BELIEVED THE EMBASSY COMMITTED A "FAUX PAS" WHICH, GIVEN THE CASE'S IMPORTANCE TO US JUSTICE, SHOULD HAVE BEEN HANDLED WITH "BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF OUR (COSTA RICAN) INSTITUT-

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 SAN JO 02709 272330Z

IONAL TRADITIONS."

2. THE EDITORIAL SUGGESTS THAT THE COURTS WOULD HAVE DECLINED AGAINST VESCO IF THEY HAD BEEN PRESENTED WITH A BETTER CASE. HOWEVER, IT POINTS OUT, THE VESCO LAWYERS HAD NO DIFFICULTY FINDING THE "ACHILLE'S HEEL" OF THE CASE AND THE DECISION CAME, THEREFORE, AS NO SURPRISE. THE COSTA RICAN PUBLIC, THE EDITORIAL ADDED, SHOULD BEAR IN MIND THAT THE COURT DECISION DOES NOT EXONERATE VESCO OF ANYTHING THAT HE HAS DONE BECAUSE THE JUDGEMENT WAS DUE TO ERRORS OF FORM RATHER THAN CONTENT. THIS DECISION, THE EDITORIAL ENDS, ABOUT WHICH THE PUBLIC IS UNEASY, DOES NOT FREE VESCO OR HIS ASSOCIATES FROM THE CHARGES BROUGHT AGAINST HIM AND "ONLY HISTORY WILL HAVE THE LAST WORD ON THE JUDGEMENT".

3. COMMENT: LA NACION, WHICH HAS BEEN A VIGOROUS CRITIC OF VESCO'S INVOLVEMENT IN COSTA RICAN AND WITH PRESIDENT FIGUERES, SEEMS TO CORRECTLY REFLECT A LARGE SEGMENT OF PUBLIC OPINION IN SAN JOSE. THERE HAS BEEN LITTLE OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DECISION, ALTHOUGH ALL PAPERS HAVE RUN AS A PAID AD A CHANNEL 11 COMMENTARY PRAISING THE DECISION AND COSTA RICA AS A "BASTION OF LAW".
LANE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 27 JUL 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973SANJO02709
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: SAN JOSE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973077/aaaaaeyh.tel
Line Count: 83
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION ARA
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 18 JAN 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18-Jan-2002 by thigpegh>; APPROVED <11 FEB 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LA NACION ANALYZES VESCO DECISION BEGIN SUMMARY: IN A FULL-PAGE EDITORIAL JULY 27, SAN JOSE'S
TAGS: PGOV, PFOR, CS
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005